



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/672,660

09/25/2003

James R. Thacker

05-00533 (02)

9783

23410 7590 11/14/2007  
Vista IP Law Group LLP  
2040 MAIN STREET, 9TH FLOOR  
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER

MORALES, JON ERIC C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3766

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/14/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/672,660

Applicant(s)

THACKER ET AL.

Examiner

Jon-Eric C. Morales

Art Unit

3766

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jon-Eric C. Morales.

(3) Michael Bolan.

(2) Carl Layno.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bradley US 2003/0093134.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion of the declaration under 35 U.S.C. 1.132 with possible changes needed in the declaration, also discussion of the amended claim 27 where examiner agrees with the prior examiner Mullen's rejection that the claim was broadened. Examiner feels that the limitation of the lead in claim 27 should not have been deleted when adding the limitation of the allowable subject matter of claim 34.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

CARL LAYNO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Carl H. Layno  
Examiner's signature, if required